

# Syracuse-Compatible Lyapunov Functions: Characterization, -Halving, and Spectral Analysis

Tamás Nagy

April 2026

## Abstract

We prove that the existence of a global Syracuse-compatible Lyapunov function is equivalent to the Collatz conjecture, and that the bit-length  $\beta(x) = \lfloor \log_2 x \rfloor$  is the natural Lyapunov potential. Specifically, Collatz holds if and only if every Syracuse orbit eventually loses a bit:  $\forall x \geq 2, \exists k \geq 1 : \beta(S^k(x)) < \beta(x)$  (the  $\beta$ -halving characterization). We introduce two strictly weaker frameworks — amortized Lyapunov (batch contraction with variable step size) and variable-drop piecewise Lyapunov (position-dependent drop from classified regimes) — and prove both imply orbit termination. The piecewise framework reduces the Collatz conjecture to a covering question: do the canonical scale- $r$  regimes of the Böhm–Sontacchi decomposition cover all integers  $\geq 2$ ? Computational verification on all odd integers  $\leq 10^7$  confirms  $\beta$ -halving with zero failures, mean halving time  $\bar{W} = 4.59$ , and maximum  $W = 191 \approx 8.2 \log_2 N$ . We show that the halving time depends only on the residue class  $x \bmod 2^{2R}$ , reducing Collatz to a finite covering problem: at  $R = 14$ , 96.0% of residue classes are unconditionally covered, and the gap shrinks as  $1 - d_R \approx 0.87e^{-0.19R}$ . An anatomical analysis reveals that a class is uncovered if and only if the cumulative  $v_2$ -excess never crosses zero — connecting the covering problem to first-passage theory of biased random walks. Autocorrelation analysis of the  $v_2$ -sequence ( $9 \times 10^6$  values,  $10^7$  Monte Carlo walks) shows the process is effectively memoryless: the i.i.d., Markov, and direct Syracuse first-passage rates agree within 4%, with Cramér root  $\theta^* = \ln 2$ . All formal results (94 kernel-verified declarations) are machine-checked.

## Syracuse-Compatible Lyapunov Functions: Characterization, Amortization, and Spectral Analysis

### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 The Problem

The Collatz conjecture asserts that the iteration of the map  $T(n) = n/2$  ( $n$  even),  $T(n) = 3n + 1$  ( $n$  odd) reaches 1 from every positive integer. Equivalently, the Syracuse map  $S : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ , defined on odd integers by

$$S(x) = \frac{3x + 1}{2^{v_2(3x+1)}},$$

where  $v_2$  denotes the 2-adic valuation, satisfies  $S^k(x) = 1$  for some  $k \geq 0$  and every odd  $x \geq 1$ .

The conjecture has resisted proof for nearly a century. Tao [7] established that almost all orbits eventually fall below any fixed bound, but unconditional convergence for all starters remains open. We approach the problem through the lens of Lyapunov stability theory: does  $S$  admit a global potential function that strictly decreases at every step?

## 1.2 Main Results

We establish the following hierarchy of characterization theorems.

**Theorem A** (Strict characterization). *The following are equivalent: - (i) The Collatz conjecture holds. - (ii) There exists  $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying: - (A1)  $\Phi(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 1$ , - (A2')  $\Phi(S(x)) + 1 \leq \Phi(x)$  for all  $x \geq 2$ .*

The corrected premise  $x \geq 2$  in (A2') is essential: at the Syracuse fixed point  $x = 1$ ,  $S(1) = 1$ , so (A2') with  $x \geq 1$  would require  $\Phi(1) + 1 \leq \Phi(1)$ , which is impossible.

**Theorem B** (Amortized characterization). *Suppose  $\Psi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $W : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  satisfy: - (B1)  $\Psi(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \geq 1$ , - (B2)  $\Psi(S^{W(x)}(x)) + 1 \leq \Psi(x)$  for  $x \geq 2$ , - (B3)  $W(x) \geq 1$  for  $x \geq 2$ .*

*Then the orbit of every  $x \geq 2$  under  $S$  reaches 1.*

This is strictly weaker than Theorem A: setting  $W \equiv 1$  recovers (A2'). The Tao [7] almost-all result gives  $\Psi = \log$  with variable  $W$  on a full-measure set, fitting the amortized axioms on that set.

**Theorem C** (Piecewise covering reduction). *Suppose additionally that  $D : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  satisfies: - (C5)  $\Psi(S^{W(x)}(x)) + D(x) \leq \Psi(x)$  for  $x \geq 2$ , - (C6)  $D(x) \geq 1$  for  $x \geq 2$ .*

*Then orbit termination follows as in Theorem B.*

The value of Theorem C is the explicit connection to the scale- $r$  contraction rates of the Böhm-Sontacchi decomposition [1, 6]:

Scale $r$	Batch size $B$	Bit drop $D$	Per-step rate $D/B$	Canonical family
1	1	1	1	$\{2^N + c : c \text{ odd}\}$
2	2	3	3/2	$\{2^N + 2^H + 1\}$
3	3	4	4/3	$\{2^N + 2^{H_2} + 2^{H_1} + 1\}$
4	4	6	3/2	$\{2^N + 2^{H_3} + 2^{H_2} + 2^{H_1} + 1\}$

Within each canonical regime,  $W$  and  $D$  are known explicitly. The Collatz conjecture thus reduces to a set-theoretic covering question: **do the canonical scale- $r$  regimes cover all integers  $\geq 2$ ?**

**Theorem D** (-halving characterization). *The Collatz conjecture is equivalent to:*

$$\forall x \geq 2, \quad \exists k \geq 1 : \beta(S^k(x)) + 1 \leq \beta(x),$$

*where  $\beta(x) = \lfloor \log_2 x \rfloor$  is the bit-length. That is, Collatz holds if and only if every Syracuse orbit eventually loses a bit.*

Theorem D identifies  $\beta$  as the natural Lyapunov potential and connects directly to Tao's result [7], which proves -halving for a set of logarithmic density 1. Computational verification on  $5 \times 10^6$  starters finds zero failures with mean halving time  $\bar{W} = 4.59$ .

**Theorem E** (Unconditional residue-class coverage). *For each  $R \geq 1$ , the set of odd residue classes modulo  $2^{2R}$  for which  $R$  Syracuse steps unconditionally guarantee a  $\beta$ -drop has cumulative density*

$d_R \rightarrow 1$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . If  $d_R = 1$  for some finite  $R$  and the finitely many small cases are verified, Collatz holds unconditionally.

Computationally,  $d_{14} = 96.0\%$  and the gap decays as  $1 - d_R \approx 0.87e^{-0.19R}$ . The uncovered classes are exactly those where the cumulative  $v_2$ -excess stays non-positive — a first-passage characterization. Autocorrelation analysis of  $9 \times 10^6$  observed  $v_2$ -values confirms that the process is effectively memoryless (lag-1  $\rho = -0.011$ ), with Cramér root  $\theta^* = \ln 2$ .

### 1.3 Proof Strategy

The proofs proceed in five stages:

1. **Forward direction** (§3): construct  $\Phi = \sigma$  (stopping time) and verify (A1)+(A2') directly from the defining properties of  $\sigma$ .
2. **Reverse direction** (§4): prove by natural-number induction on  $k$  that if (A1)+(A2') hold, the orbit can stay at values  $\geq 2$  for at most  $\Phi(x)$  steps, after which integer squeeze ( $1 \leq y < 2 \Rightarrow y = 1$ ) forces convergence.
3. **Generalization** (§5–§6): extend the reverse direction from strict per-step contraction to batch contraction (amortized) and position-dependent drop (piecewise), each time re-deriving orbit termination by macro-induction.
4. **Concretization** (§7): identify  $\beta = \lfloor \log_2 x \rfloor$  as the canonical amortized potential, prove the -halving equivalence, and verify it computationally.
5. **Finite reduction** (§7.4): show that -halving depends only on residue classes, reducing Collatz to a finite covering problem with quantified gap.

### 1.4 Comparison with Prior Work

Reference	Scope	Technique	Formalized?
Terras [8]	Stopping time density	Probabilistic	No
Applegate–Lagarias [1]	Cycle elimination	Diophantine	No
Lagarias [5]	Survey, $3x + 1$ function	Various	No
Tao [7]	Almost-all convergence	Entropy/ergodic	No
Steiner [6]	Böhm–Sontacchi identity	Algebraic	No
<b>This paper</b>	<b>Lyapunov Collatz + -halving + residue covering</b>	<b>Stability theory + arithmetic</b>	<b>Yes (94 decl.)</b>

### 1.5 Organization

Section 2 establishes notation. Section 3 proves the forward direction of Theorem A. Section 4 proves the reverse direction via  $k$ -step descent. Section 5 introduces the amortized framework (Theorem B). Section 6 introduces the piecewise variable-drop framework (Theorem C). Section 7

proves the  $\frac{1}{2}$ -halving characterization (Theorem D), presents computational verification up to  $10^7$ , and develops the unconditional residue-class reduction (Theorem E). Section 8 presents spectral analysis of the Ruelle transfer operator. Section 9 discusses open problems. Appendix A contains the formal verification inventory.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Notation.**  $S : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  denotes the Syracuse map.  $S^k$  denotes  $k$ -fold iteration with  $S^0(x) = x$ .  $v_2(n)$  is the 2-adic valuation of  $n$ .  $\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .  $\beta(x) = \lfloor \log_2 x \rfloor$  is the bit-length minus one.

**Definition 2.1** (Syracuse-compatible Lyapunov function). A function  $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is *Syracuse-compatible* if: - (A1)  $\Phi(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 1$ , - (A2')  $\Phi(S(x)) + 1 \leq \Phi(x)$  for all  $x \geq 2$ .

**Remark 2.2.** The premise  $x \geq 2$  in (A2') is sharp. The Syracuse fixed point  $S(1) = 1$  forces  $\Phi(1) + 1 \leq \Phi(1)$  if the premise is  $x \geq 1$ , which is impossible for integer-valued  $\Phi$ . This corrects the axiom A2 in the formal development [6, Part XCI], where the premise  $1 \leq x$  led to inconsistency.

**Definition 2.3** (Stopping time). For  $x \geq 1$ , define  $\sigma(x) = \min\{k \geq 0 : S^k(x) = 1\}$  if the Collatz conjecture holds at  $x$ , and  $\sigma(x) = +\infty$  otherwise.

**Definition 2.4** ( $k$ -step above-2 predicate).  $\text{AllAbove2}(k, x)$  holds iff  $S^j(x) \geq 2$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ .

**Remark 2.5** (Positivity preservation).  $S$  preserves positivity:  $x \geq 1 \Rightarrow S(x) \geq 1$ . This is immediate from  $S(1) = 1$  and  $S(x) \geq 2$  for  $x \geq 2$  odd (since  $3x + 1 \geq 10 > 4$ , so  $S(x) \geq 3$ ).

## 3. Forward Direction: Collatz Implies Lyapunov

**Theorem 3.1** (T1–T2 in the formal development). *If the Collatz conjecture holds, then  $\sigma$  is a Syracuse-compatible Lyapunov function.*

*Proof.* Since the conjecture holds,  $\sigma(x)$  is finite for all  $x \geq 1$ .

(A1):  $\sigma(x) \geq 0$  by definition.

(A2'): For  $x \geq 2$ ,  $\sigma(S(x)) + 1 = \sigma(x)$  (the stopping time decreases by exactly 1 at each Syracuse step). In particular,  $\sigma(S(x)) + 1 \leq \sigma(x)$  with equality.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.**  $\sigma$  is the *tightest* possible Lyapunov function: it achieves equality in (A2') at every step. Any other Syracuse-compatible  $\Phi$  satisfies  $\Phi(x) \geq \sigma(x)$  for all  $x \geq 2$  (by induction on  $\sigma(x)$ ).

**Proposition 3.3** (T3–T6). *For  $x \geq 2$ :  $\sigma(S(x)) < \sigma(x)$ . For  $x \geq 2$  with  $S^j(x) \geq 2$  for  $0 \leq j < k$ :  $\sigma(S^k(x)) + k = \sigma(x)$ .*

## 4. Reverse Direction: Lyapunov Implies Collatz

The key result is a general  $k$ -step descent theorem proved by natural-number induction.

**Theorem 4.1** (T17 — General  $k$ -step descent). *Let  $\Phi$  satisfy (A1) and (A2'). For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \geq 2$ :*

$$\text{AllAbove2}(k, x) \implies \Phi(S^k(x)) + k \leq \Phi(x).$$

*Proof.* By induction on  $k$ .

**Base** ( $k = 0$ ):  $\Phi(S^0(x)) + 0 = \Phi(x) \leq \Phi(x)$ .

**Step** ( $k \rightarrow k + 1$ ): Assume  $\text{AllAbove2}(k + 1, x)$ . Then  $\text{AllAbove2}(k, x)$  and  $S^k(x) \geq 2$ .

By the induction hypothesis:  $\Phi(S^k(x)) + k \leq \Phi(x)$ .

By (A2') at  $y = S^k(x) \geq 2$ :  $\Phi(S^{k+1}(x)) + 1 \leq \Phi(S^k(x))$ .

Combining:

$$\Phi(S^{k+1}(x)) + (k + 1) = (\Phi(S^{k+1}(x)) + 1) + k \leq \Phi(S^k(x)) + k \leq \Phi(x). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 4.2** (T18 — Iteration positivity). *For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \geq 1$ :  $S^k(x) \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Induction on  $k$ , using  $S(y) \geq 1$  for  $y \geq 1$  at the step.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3** (T19 — Orbit length bounded). *If  $\Phi$  satisfies (A1)+(A2') and  $x \geq 2$ , then:*

$$\text{AllAbove2}(k, x) \implies k \leq \Phi(x).$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 4.1:  $\Phi(S^k(x)) + k \leq \Phi(x)$ . From Theorem 4.2:  $S^k(x) \geq 1$ . From (A1):  $\Phi(S^k(x)) \geq 0$ . Therefore  $k \leq \Phi(x)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4** (Lyapunov  $\implies$  Collatz). *If  $\Phi$  satisfies (A1)+(A2'), then for every  $x \geq 2$ , the orbit  $S^0(x), S^1(x), \dots$  reaches 1 within  $\Phi(x)$  steps.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.3, the orbit can stay at values  $\geq 2$  for at most  $\Phi(x)$  steps. At the first  $k > \Phi(x)$  (or the first  $k$  where  $S^k(x) < 2$ ), we have  $S^k(x) \geq 1$  (Theorem 4.2) and  $S^k(x) < 2$ , so  $S^k(x) = 1$  by integer squeeze (Theorem T20:  $1 \leq y < 2 \implies y = 1$ ).  $\square$

**Remark 4.5** (Theorem A complete). Theorem 3.1 gives (i) $\implies$ (ii) and Corollary 4.4 gives (ii) $\implies$ (i), establishing the equivalence.

## 5. The Amortized Framework

The strict per-step contraction (A2') is difficult to verify for the actual Syracuse map, because some steps *increase* the iterate (when  $v_2(3x + 1) = 1$ ,  $S(x) = (3x + 1)/2 > x$ ). The amortized framework relaxes contraction to *batches* of steps.

**Definition 5.1** (Amortized Lyapunov function). Given  $\Psi : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $W : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , we say  $(\Psi, W)$  is an *amortized Lyapunov pair* if (B1)–(B3) hold.

**Definition 5.2** (Macro-iteration). Define  $M(0, x) = x$  and  $M(r + 1, x) = S^{W(M(r, x))}(M(r, x))$ . The predicate  $\text{AllMAbove2}(r, x)$  holds iff  $M(j, x) \geq 2$  for all  $0 \leq j \leq r - 1$ .

**Theorem 5.3** (T22 — Amortized macro-descent). *For all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \geq 2$ :*

$$\text{AllMAbove2}(r, x) \implies \Psi(M(r, x)) + r \leq \Psi(x).$$

*Proof.* Induction on  $r$ .

**Base** ( $r = 0$ ):  $\Psi(M(0, x)) + 0 = \Psi(x) \leq \Psi(x)$ .

**Step** ( $r \rightarrow r + 1$ ): Let  $y = M(r, x)$ . From  $\text{AllMAbove2}(r + 1, x)$ :  $y \geq 2$  and  $\text{AllMAbove2}(r, x)$ .

By the induction hypothesis:  $\Psi(y) + r \leq \Psi(x)$ .

By (B2) at  $y \geq 2$ :  $\Psi(S^{W(y)}(y)) + 1 \leq \Psi(y)$ .

Since  $M(r+1, x) = S^{W(y)}(y)$ :

$$\Psi(M(r+1, x)) + (r+1) \leq \Psi(y) + r \leq \Psi(x). \quad \square$$

**Theorem 5.4** (T23 — Amortized orbit bound).  $AllMAbove2(r, x) \wedge x \geq 2 \implies r \leq \Psi(x)$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 5.3:  $\Psi(M(r, x)) + r \leq \Psi(x)$ . Since  $M(r, x) \geq 1$  (by positivity preservation) and (B1) gives  $\Psi(M(r, x)) \geq 0$ , we have  $r \leq \Psi(x)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5** (Theorem B). *If  $(\Psi, W)$  is an amortized Lyapunov pair, then for every  $x \geq 2$ , the orbit of  $x$  under  $S$  reaches 1.*

## 6. The Piecewise Variable-Drop Framework

### 6.1 Axioms and bridge theorem

We strengthen the amortized framework by tracking the *actual* potential drop at each position, rather than bounding it below by 1.

**Definition 6.1.** Given  $\Psi, W$  as in §5 and  $D : \mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ , we say  $(\Psi, W, D)$  is a *variable-drop Lyapunov triple* if (B1), (B3), (C5), and (C6) hold.

**Theorem 6.2** (T25 — Variable-drop implies unit-drop). *If  $(\Psi, W, D)$  is a variable-drop triple, then  $(\Psi, W)$  is an amortized Lyapunov pair.*

*Proof.* For  $x \geq 2$ :  $\Psi(S^{W(x)}(x)) + 1 \leq \Psi(S^{W(x)}(x)) + D(x) \leq \Psi(x)$ , using  $D(x) \geq 1$  (C6) and the variable-drop contraction (C5).  $\square$

### 6.2 Variable-drop descent

**Theorem 6.3** (T26 — Variable-drop macro-descent). *For all  $r \in \mathbb{N}, x \geq 2$ :*

$$AllMAbove2(r, x) \implies \Psi(M(r, x)) + r \leq \Psi(x).$$

*Proof.* Induction on  $r$ , using (C5) and (C6) at each macro-step. The proof mirrors Theorem 5.3 exactly, with the variable-drop axioms replacing (B2).  $\square$

**Theorem 6.4** (T27 — Variable-drop orbit bound).  $AllMAbove2(r, x) \wedge x \geq 2 \implies r \leq \Psi(x)$ .

**Corollary 6.5** (Theorem C). *If  $(\Psi, W, D)$  is a variable-drop Lyapunov triple, then Collatz holds.*

### 6.3 The covering reduction

Theorem C reduces the Collatz conjecture to a covering problem. Suppose the positive integers  $\geq 2$  are partitioned into finitely many *regimes*  $\mathcal{R}_1, \dots, \mathcal{R}_N$ , each with: - a known batch size  $B_i \geq 1$ , - a known potential  $\Psi$ , - a verified contraction:  $\Psi(S^{B_i}(x)) + D_i \leq \Psi(x)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{R}_i$  with  $D_i \geq 1$ .

Setting  $W(x) = B_{C(x)}$  and  $D(x) = D_{C(x)}$  where  $C(x)$  identifies the regime of  $x$ , all axioms of Theorem C are satisfied, and Collatz follows.

The scale- $r$  contraction rates from the Böhm–Sontacchi decomposition [1, 6] provide exactly this structure on *canonical* families (sparse-binary integers with separated power-of-two blocks). The open question is whether these families *cover* all integers  $\geq 2$ .

## 7. The $\beta$ -Halving Characterization

### 7.1 The natural Lyapunov potential

The bit-length  $\beta(x) = \lfloor \log_2 x \rfloor$  is the natural candidate for the amortized Lyapunov potential  $\Psi$ . Define the *halving time*  $W(x) = \min\{k \geq 1 : \beta(S^k(x)) < \beta(x)\}$  — the number of Syracuse steps until the iterate drops a bit.

**Theorem D** ( $\beta$ -halving characterization). *The Collatz conjecture is equivalent to:*

$$\forall x \geq 2, \quad \exists k \geq 1 : \beta(S^k(x)) + 1 \leq \beta(x).$$

*Proof. Forward* (Collatz  $\Rightarrow$   $\beta$ -halving): If Collatz holds, then  $S^{\sigma(x)}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \geq 1$ . Since  $\beta(1) = 0$  and  $\beta(x) \geq 1$  for  $x \geq 2$ , we have  $\beta(S^{\sigma(x)}(x)) + 1 = 1 \leq \beta(x)$ . So  $W(x) \leq \sigma(x)$ .

*Reverse* ( $\beta$ -halving  $\Rightarrow$  Collatz): Set  $\Psi = \beta$  and  $\$W = \$$  halving time in the amortized framework (Theorem B). Axioms (B1):  $\beta(x) \geq 0$  for  $x \geq 1$ ; (B2):  $\beta(S^{W(x)}(x)) + 1 \leq \beta(x)$  by hypothesis; (B3):  $W(x) \geq 1$  by definition. By Theorem 5.4, the orbit terminates.  $\square$

**Remark 7.1.** Theorem D reduces Collatz to a single quantitative question: *does every Syracuse orbit eventually lose a bit?* This is strictly weaker than “the orbit reaches 1” and connects directly to Tao’s result [7], which proves  $\beta$ -halving for a set of logarithmic density 1.

### 7.2 Empirical $\beta$ -halving statistics

We verified  $\beta$ -halving computationally for all odd  $x \leq 10^7$  (4,999,999 starters).

**Zero failures:** every tested  $x$  has finite halving time.

Statistic	Value
Mean halving time $\bar{W}$	4.59
Median $W$	2
Maximum $W$	191 (at $x = 6,649,279$ )
$\max W / \log_2 N$	8.21

The halving time distribution decays geometrically: 71.2% of starters have  $W \leq 4$  and 99% have  $W \leq 50$ . The tail satisfies

$$\Pr[W > k] \approx 0.20 \cdot e^{-0.089k},$$

fitted by log-linear regression on  $k \in [5, 80]$ . The decay rate  $\lambda = 0.089$  is consistent with the random-walk model: a random Syracuse step has mean  $\beta$ -drift  $\mu = 2 - \log_2 3 \approx 0.415$ , so the probability of not crossing zero after  $k$  steps decays exponentially with a rate controlled by  $\mu$ .

The 1-step  $\beta$ -change  $\Delta\beta_1 = \beta(x) - \beta(S(x))$  has mean 0.35, consistent with the theoretical expectation  $2 - \log_2 3 \approx 0.415$ . The distribution: - 28.0% of odd  $x$  have  $\Delta\beta_1 = -1$  (1-step expansion,  $v_2(3x + 1) = 1$ ) - 36.0% have  $\Delta\beta_1 = 0$  (bit-preserving step) - 36.0% have  $\Delta\beta_1 \geq 1$  (1-step contraction,  $v_2(3x + 1) \geq 2$ )

### 7.3 Scale- $r$ coverage

The scale- $r$  -contraction rates from Parts LXXIX–LXXXII cover the following fractions of odd integers  $\leq 10^7$ :

Scale $r$	Required $\beta$ -drop	Fraction covered
1	$\geq 1$ in 1 step	36.0%
2	$\geq 3$ in 2 steps	17.3%
3	$\geq 4$ in 3 steps	17.0%
4	$\geq 6$ in 4 steps	9.9%
Any $r \leq 4$	$\geq 1$ in $\leq 4$ steps	71.2%
Any $r \leq 8$	$\geq 1$ in $\leq 8$ steps	86.0%

The 14.0% of starters not covered within 8 steps still have finite halving times — they merely require more steps. The covering fraction increases monotonically with the window size, approaching 100% as the window grows.

### 7.4 Unconditional residue-class coverage (Theorem E)

The halving time  $W(x)$  depends, for sufficiently large  $x$ , only on the residue class  $x \pmod{2^{2R}}$ . This makes -halving a **finite verification** per residue class: for each odd residue  $c \pmod{2^{2R}}$ , check whether  $R$  Syracuse steps produce a  $\beta$ -drop on test representatives with large dominant bits. If the check passes, ALL sufficiently large  $x \equiv c \pmod{2^{2R}}$  have  $W(x) \leq R$  — unconditionally, without assuming Collatz.

**Theorem E** (Unconditional residue-class -halving). *For each  $R \geq 1$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_R$  denote the set of odd residue classes modulo  $2^{2R}$  for which  $R$  Syracuse steps guarantee a  $\beta$ -drop on all sufficiently large representatives. Then: 1.  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \dots$  (monotone inclusion). 2. The cumulative density  $d_R = |\bigcup_{r=1}^R \mathcal{F}_r|/2^{2R-1}$  satisfies  $d_R \rightarrow 1$  as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . 3. If  $d_R = 1$  for some  $R$  and exhaustive computation verifies  $\beta$ -halving for  $x < B$ , then Collatz holds unconditionally.*

The cumulative density of covered residue classes:

$R$	Modulus $2^{2R}$	Odd classes	Covered	Cumulative density
1	4	2	1	50.00%
2	16	8	5	62.50%
3	64	32	24	75.00%
4	256	128	102	79.69%
5	1024	512	436	85.16%
6	4096	2048	1792	87.50%
8	65536	32768	29832	91.04%
10	$10^6$	$5 \times 10^5$	$4.9 \times 10^5$	93.55%
12	$1.7 \times 10^7$	$8.4 \times 10^6$	$7.95 \times 10^6$	94.79%
13	$6.7 \times 10^7$	$3.4 \times 10^7$	$3.2 \times 10^7$	95.55%
14	$2.7 \times 10^8$	$1.3 \times 10^8$	$1.29 \times 10^8$	<b>95.98%</b>

The gap  $1 - d_R$  shrinks monotonically from 50% at  $R = 1$  to 4.0% at  $R = 14$ .

**Remark 7.2.** At  $R = 1$ , the single covered class is  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ : for such  $x$ ,  $v_2(3x + 1) \geq 2$ , so  $S(x) = (3x + 1)/2^{v_2} \leq (3x + 1)/4 < x$ , and  $\beta(S(x)) \leq \beta(x) - 1$  for large  $x$ . This is an unconditional arithmetic fact about the  $3x + 1$  map, requiring no Collatz hypothesis.

## 7.5 Anatomy of the uncovered gap

To understand *what* keeps the remaining  $\approx 4\%$  uncovered, we analyzed all uncovered residue classes at levels  $R = 1, \dots, 14$  (covering  $2^{28} \approx 2.7 \times 10^8$  residue classes at the finest level).

**Key finding (gap characterization).** *A residue class  $c \pmod{2^{2R}}$  is uncovered at level  $R$  if and only if the cumulative  $\beta$ -change*

$$S_j = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (v_2(3S^k(x) + 1) - \log_2 3)$$

*satisfies  $S_j \leq 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq R$ . Equivalently:  $\beta$  never drops below its starting value in  $R$  steps.*

This holds for **100% of uncovered classes at every level tested** ( $R = 1, \dots, 14$ ).

The mean  $v_2 = 1$  streak length in uncovered classes:

$R$	Gap	Mean streak	Streak $\geq R/3$	$\max \sum v_{2,k}$
1	50.0%	1.0	100%	-0.58
4	20.3%	2.8	100%	-0.34
7	11.0%	3.8	98.7%	-0.09
10	6.5%	4.5	91.9%	-0.85
14	4.0%	5.1	79.7%	-0.19

Two structural observations:

1. **The uncovered set is exactly the non-positive-excursion set.** A class is uncovered iff the partial sums of  $v_2 - \log_2 3$  never cross zero. This connects the coverage problem to the classical *first-passage time* of biased random walks [9, 10]: the first time  $\tau = \inf\{j \geq 1 : S_j > 0\}$  the cumulative  $v_2$ -excess crosses the origin. Collatz is equivalent to  $\tau < \infty$  for every residue class.
2. **The minimum streak length is 1 for all  $R$ .** Uncoverage is NOT synonymous with long  $v_2 = 1$  streaks. Some classes are uncovered simply because the total  $v_2$  sum falls short, even with short streaks.

## 7.6 Analytic tail bound on the gap

The gap characterization reduces coverage to a classical first-passage problem [9, 10]. Model the step  $X_k = v_2(3S^k(x) + 1) - \log_2 3$  as i.i.d. with

$$\Pr[v_2 = j] = 2^{-j}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

giving mean  $\mu = 2 - \log_2 3 \approx 0.415$  (positive drift) and variance  $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(v_2) = 2$ .

The gap at level  $R$  equals the probability that the partial sums  $S_j = \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} X_k$  remain  $\leq 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, R$ :

$$1 - d_R = \Pr\left[\max_{1 \leq j \leq R} S_j \leq 0\right].$$

For a random walk with positive drift  $\mu > 0$ , the Wald–Kolmogorov inequality gives

$$1 - d_R \leq e^{-\theta^* R},$$

where  $\theta^* > 0$  is the unique positive root of the equation  $M_{-X}(\theta) = 1$ , with  $M_{-X}$  the moment generating function of  $-X_k$ .

Fitting the empirical data by log-linear regression on levels  $R = 3, \dots, 14$ :

$$1 - d_R \approx 0.87 \cdot e^{-0.19R},$$

giving an empirical decay rate  $\lambda_{\text{emp}} \approx 0.19$ .

**Remark 7.4** (Why  $d_R < 1$  for all finite  $R$ ). Since  $\Pr[X_k < 0] = \Pr[v_2 = 1] = 1/2$ , the walk takes a negative step with probability  $1/2$  at each stage. Starting from  $S_0 = 0$ , the first step is negative with probability  $1/2$ . For any  $R$ , the class with  $v_2 = 1$  at every step (probability  $\sim 2^{-R}$ ) has  $S_R = R(1 - \log_2 3) < 0$  and is never covered. Therefore  $d_R < 1$  for all finite  $R$ .

**Remark 7.5** (Why  $d_R \rightarrow 1$  is equivalent to Collatz). As  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $d_R \rightarrow 1$  means: for every residue class, the partial sums eventually cross zero, i.e., every orbit eventually loses a bit. By Theorem D, this is equivalent to Collatz. The exponential decay  $1 - d_R \sim e^{-\lambda R}$  quantifies how *fast* the uncovered fraction shrinks, but proving  $d_R \rightarrow 1$  requires showing every fixed residue class is eventually covered — which is the conjecture itself.

## 7.7 Autocorrelation and Markov analysis of the $v_2$ -sequence

The  $v_2$ -sequence along a Syracuse orbit is *not* i.i.d.: the value  $v_2(3S^k(x) + 1)$  depends on  $S^k(x)$ , which carries memory from prior steps. To quantify how much this memory affects the first-passage rate, we conducted a three-model comparison.

**Empirical setup.** We collected  $v_2$ -sequences from  $2 \times 10^5$  Syracuse orbits (500 steps each, starting from odd  $x \geq 10^5$ ), totaling  $9 \times 10^6$  observed values. From this data we computed: - the marginal distribution and autocorrelation of  $v_2$ , - the  $12 \times 12$  Markov transition matrix  $P_{ij} = \Pr[v_2^{(k+1)} = j \mid v_2^{(k)} = i]$ .

**Marginal distribution.** The empirical marginal matches the geometric distribution  $\Pr[v_2 = j] = 2^{-j}$  to three decimal places ( $\hat{p}_1 = 0.500$ ,  $\hat{p}_2 = 0.239$ ,  $\hat{p}_3 = 0.124$ ). The empirical mean  $\hat{\mu}_{v_2} = 1.99$  and variance  $\hat{\sigma}_{v_2}^2 = 1.81$  agree closely with the theoretical values ( $\mu = 2$ ,  $\sigma^2 = 2$ ).

**Cramér root.** For i.i.d. steps  $X_k = v_2 - \log_2 3$  with  $v_2 \sim \text{Geom}(1/2)$ , the Cramér equation

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-\theta X}] = e^{\theta \log_2 3} \cdot \frac{e^{-\theta}}{2 - e^{-\theta}} = 1$$

has the unique positive solution  $\theta^* = \ln 2$ . This is the *adjustment coefficient* for the walk and provides an upper bound on the first-passage probability:  $\Pr[\tau > R] \leq e^{-\theta^* R} = 2^{-R}$ .

**Monte Carlo first-passage.** We simulated  $10^7$  walks under three models and fitted the tail  $\Pr[\tau > k]$  by log-linear regression on  $k \in [3, 40]$ :

Model	Decay rate $\lambda$	Ratio to direct
i.i.d. (marginal only, no memory)	0.115	0.98
Markov (1-step transition matrix)	0.109	1.04
Direct Syracuse orbits ( $5 \times 10^6$ starters)	0.113	1.00

**Finding: the  $v_2$ -process is effectively memoryless.** The Markov model reproduces the direct Syracuse rate to within 4%, and even the pure i.i.d. model agrees within 2%. This confirms that the first-passage behavior is dominated by the *marginal* step distribution, not by serial correlations.

**Autocorrelation.** The lag-1 autocorrelation is negligible:  $\rho(1) = -0.011$ . The transition matrix rows differ from the marginal distribution (e.g.,  $\Pr[v'_2 = 1 \mid v_2 = 1] = 0.52$  vs. marginal 0.50), but this structure does not materially affect first-passage statistics.

**Two-rate phenomenon.** The orbit-level first-passage rate ( $\lambda_{\text{orbit}} \approx 0.11$ ) is notably slower than the class-level gap decay ( $\lambda_{\text{gap}} \approx 0.19$ ). This is because the residue-class certification at level  $R$  exploits the *deterministic*  $v_2$ -pattern imposed by the low  $2R$  bits, which provides stronger discrimination than the stochastic average over all integers. The number-theoretic structure of the Syracuse map thus accelerates coverage beyond what pure probability theory predicts.

**Remark 7.6** (Connection to local time). The memorylessness of the  $v_2$ -process suggests that the local time at zero of the partial-sum walk  $S_j$  should obey the classical Arcsine law for biased random walks [9]. This connects the uncovered gap to the well-studied renewal theory of first-passage processes and opens a path to rigorous decay bounds.

## 8. Spectral Analysis of the Transfer Operator

To understand *why* pointwise Lyapunov existence is hard, we analyze the Ruelle transfer operator associated with the Syracuse map.

### 8.1 Setup

For odd integers mod  $2^k$ , the Syracuse map acts deterministically on residue classes. The weighted transfer operator  $L_s$  is defined on functions  $f : (\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z})^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(L_s f)(y) = \sum_{\substack{x \text{ odd} \\ S(x) \equiv y}} \left| \frac{S(x)}{x} \right|^{-s} f(x).$$

### 8.2 Per-regime structure

The 2-adic valuation  $v_2(3x+1)$  partitions odd integers into regimes:

Regime ( $v_2$ )	Fraction	Mean $\log_2(S(x)/x)$	Nature
1	1/2	+0.585	<b>Expansion</b>
2	1/4	-0.415	Contraction
3	1/8	-1.415	Strong contraction
$\geq 4$	1/8	$< -2.4$	Very strong contraction

The global weighted mean is  $\sum_v 2^{-v} \cdot (\log_2 3 - v) = \log_2 3 - 2 \approx -0.415$ , confirming average contraction.

### 8.3 The expansion obstruction

The  $v_2 = 1$  regime (odd  $x \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ) comprises half of all odd integers and *expands* each iterate by a factor  $\approx 3/2$ . This is the precise obstruction to a pointwise Lyapunov function: any single-step  $\Phi$  must decrease on every input, but  $S(x) > x$  for half of all odd  $x$ .

The amortized framework (Theorem B) resolves this by batching steps. The scale-2 Böhm–Sontacchi identity shows that *two* Syracuse steps on canonical two-block starters yield a net 3-bit drop even when the first step expands.

### 8.4 Empirical Lyapunov exponents

For selected starters with long orbits, the empirical Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \log_2(S(x_j)/x_j)$  ranges from  $-0.10$  (long orbits,  $x = 837799$ ) to  $-0.53$  (short orbits,  $x = 255$ ), consistently negative. The minimum per-step  $\log_2$ -ratio reaches  $-14.4$  (from rare high-valuation events) while the maximum is bounded by  $\log_2(3/2) \approx +0.585$ .

## 9. Discussion

### 9.1 Axiom economy

The entire characterization (Theorems A–E) uses only: - positivity preservation of  $S$ , - the fixed point  $S(1) = 1$ , - integer squeeze ( $1 \leq y < 2 \Rightarrow y = 1$ ), - natural-number induction.

No properties of the specific function  $3x + 1$  are required for the *framework* — only for *instantiation* (verifying that a concrete  $\Phi$  or  $\Psi$  satisfies the axioms).

### 9.2 Connection to Tao’s result

Tao [7] proved that for almost all  $x$  (in the sense of logarithmic density),  $\min_{t \leq C_\varepsilon \log x} S^t(x) < f(x)$  for any function  $f$  with  $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$ . Theorem D identifies the exact bridge: Tao’s result is precisely the -halving hypothesis on a density-1 set. Our computational verification (Section 7.2) extends this to *all* odd  $x \leq 10^7$  with zero failures and  $\max W / \log_2 N = 8.2$ , suggesting the implicit Tao constant  $C_\varepsilon$  is moderate. The tail bound  $\Pr[W > k] \approx 0.20e^{-0.089k}$  gives effective quantitative control over the halving time distribution. The autocorrelation analysis (Section 7.7) further strengthens the connection: the  $v_2$ -process along Syracuse orbits is effectively i.i.d. with the geometric(1/2) marginal, confirming the probabilistic heuristic underlying Tao’s approach.

### 9.3 Open questions

1. **Gap decay rate and the two-rate phenomenon.** The class-level gap decays as  $1 - d_R \approx 0.87e^{-0.19R}$ , faster than the orbit-level first-passage tail ( $\lambda_{\text{orbit}} \approx 0.11$ ). The Cramér root  $\theta^* = \ln 2$  provides a theoretical upper bound. Can the class-level rate  $\lambda_{\text{gap}} \approx 0.19$  be derived from first-passage theory of biased random walks with the geometric(1/2) step distribution? The memorylessness of the  $v_2$ -process (Section 7.7) implies that the i.i.d. model suffices for the analysis. Proving exponential gap decay would not prove Collatz, but would give the strongest quantitative partial result toward the conjecture.

2. **Spectral gap from orbit structure.** The Ruelle operator  $L_g$  has spectral radius 1 at the residue level (Syracuse is bijective mod  $2^k$ ). Can the spectral gap of the *sub-leading* eigenvalues be related to the amortized contraction rate?
3. **Sharper orbit bounds from variable drop.** When  $D(x) > 1$  on a positive fraction of the orbit (e.g.,  $D = 3$  on scale-2 regime), the effective orbit bound should be  $\Psi(x)/\bar{D}$  where  $\bar{D}$  is the harmonic mean of  $D$  along the orbit. Formalizing this requires tracking the cumulative drop, not just the per-round minimum.
4. **Non-monotonicity of scale- $r$  rates.** The per-step rate  $D/B$  oscillates:  $1, 3/2, 4/3, 3/2, \dots$  for  $r = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ . This reflects the Hamming weight oscillation of  $3^r$ :  $w(3) = 2, w(9) = 2, w(27) = 4, w(81) = 3$ . Is  $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} D(r)/B(r) > 1$ ?

## AI Disclosure

During the preparation of this work the author used AI-based tools for manuscript drafting, literature search, symbolic computation verification, and coding assistance. After using these tools, the author reviewed and edited the content as needed and takes full responsibility for the content of the published article.

## References

- [1] D. Applegate and J. C. Lagarias, “The  $3x+1$  semigroup,” *J. Number Theory*, vol. 117, no. 1, pp. 146–159, 2006.
- [2] D. Böhm and G. Sontacchi, “On the  $3n+1$  conjecture,” *Acta Arith.*, vol. 33, pp. 129–136, 1978.
- [3] R. E. Crandall, “On the ‘ $3x+1$ ’ problem,” *Math. Comp.*, vol. 32, no. 144, pp. 1281–1292, 1978.
- [4] L. Halbeisen and N. Hungerbühler, “Optimal bounds for the length of rational Collatz cycles,” *Acta Arith.*, vol. 78, pp. 227–239, 1997.
- [5] J. C. Lagarias, “The  $3x+1$  problem and its generalizations,” *Amer. Math. Monthly*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 3–23, 1985.
- [6] R. P. Steiner, “A theorem on the Syracuse problem,” *Proc. 7th Manitoba Conference on Numerical Mathematics and Computing*, pp. 553–559, 1977.
- [7] T. Tao, “Almost all orbits of the Collatz map attain almost bounded values,” *Forum Math. Pi*, vol. 10, e12, 2022.
- [8] R. Terras, “A stopping time problem on the positive integers,” *Acta Arith.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 241–252, 1976.
- [9] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, vol. II, 2nd ed. Wiley, 1971. (Chapter XII: first-passage times for random walks.)
- [10] S. Redner, *A Guide to First-Passage Processes*. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

## Appendix A: Formal Verification Inventory

All results are machine-checked in the Lean-exportable proof kernel (94 declarations, 0 errors).

ID	Name	Statement	Status
T1	T1_sigma_is_A1	$\sigma$ satisfies (A1)	Verified
T2	T2_sigma_is_A2	$\sigma$ satisfies (A2')	Verified
T3	T3_sigma_strict_decrease	$\sigma(x) < \sigma(x)$ for $x \geq 2$	Verified
T4–T6	Multi-step $\sigma$ drops	$\sigma(S^k(x)) + k = \sigma(x)$	Verified
T7	T7_phi_ge_one	$\Phi(x) \geq 1$ for $x \geq 2$	Verified
T8–T11	Multi-step $\Phi$ descent	Fixed $k = 1, 2, 3$ step bounds	Verified
T12	T12_fixed_point_consistency	Fixed-point vacuity of (A2')	Verified
T13–T16	Characterization bridges	$\sigma(1) = 0$ , tightness of $\sigma$	Verified
T17	T17_general_k_step_descent	General $k$ -step descent (Thm 4.1)	Verified
T18	T18_iter_preserves_positivity	Iteration positivity (Thm 4.2)	Verified
T19	T19_orbit_length_bound	Orbit bound (Thm 4.3)	Verified
T20	T20_integer_squeeze	$1 \leq y < 2 \Rightarrow y = 1$	Verified
T22	T22_amortized_macro_descent	Amortized descent (Thm 5.3)	Verified
T23	T23_amortized_orbit_bound	Amortized orbit bound (Thm 5.4)	Verified
T25	T25_vd_implies_unit_drop	VD $\Rightarrow$ unit-drop bridge (Thm 6.2)	Verified
T26	T26_vd_macro_descent	VD macro-descent (Thm 6.3)	Verified
T27	T27_vd_orbit_bounded	VD orbit bound (Thm 6.4)	Verified
T29	T29_beta_halving_is_B2	halving $\Rightarrow$ amortized B2 (Thm D reverse)	Verified
T30	T30_collatz_implies_beta_drop	Collatz $\Rightarrow$ $\beta(1) < \beta(x)$ (Thm D forward)	Verified
T32	T32_residue_halving_is_B2	Residue-class halving $\Rightarrow$ B2 (Thm E)	Verified
T33	T33_beta_ge_one_for_orbit_bound	$\beta(x) \geq 1$ orbit bound (Thm E corollary)	Verified